

Proposed Counter-Example

In this proposed counter-example to statement that equations (108) and (109) of the paper imply equation (118), we choose the quantity $q(\mathbf{x}, t)$ as follows:

$$q(\mathbf{x}, t) = q_0 \frac{\delta_0^3}{\delta^3(t)} \quad \text{if } |\mathbf{x}| < \delta(t), \quad \text{and} \quad q(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0 \quad \text{if } |\mathbf{x}| \geq \delta(t)$$

where

$$\delta(t) = \delta_0 \frac{t_b - t}{t_b},$$

t_b is the blowup time, and q_0 and δ_0 are the initial values of q and δ respectively. Integrating this function over \mathbb{R}^3 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q(\mathbf{x}, t) d^3\mathbf{x} &= \int_0^{\delta(t)} \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} q_0 \frac{\delta_0^3}{\delta^3(t)} r^2 \sin\theta d\phi d\theta dr = 4\pi q_0 \frac{\delta_0^3}{\delta^3(t)} \int_0^{\delta(t)} r^2 dr \\ &= \frac{4}{3} \pi q_0 \frac{\delta_0^3}{\delta^3(t)} \delta^3(t) = \frac{4}{3} \pi q_0 \delta_0^3 = \text{finite} \end{aligned}$$

which is consistent with inequality (109) in the paper.

Now let us see if we can establish existence of the time integral of $|\nabla p|$ at $\mathbf{x} = 0$. We first obtain an expression for the function $Q(\mathbf{x}, t)$ valid for $|\mathbf{x}| < \delta(t)$. From equation (108) of the paper, we have

$$|Q(\mathbf{x}, t)| = \frac{\partial q}{\partial t}(\mathbf{x}, t) = 3q_0 \frac{\delta_0^4}{t_b \delta^4(t)} = Q(\mathbf{x}, t)$$

where we have assumed $Q(\mathbf{x}, t) \geq 0$ for this example. As we will see, however, using the opposite sign leads to the same basic result. Inserting this function into equation (12) from the paper gives us

$$\nabla^2 p(\mathbf{x}, t) = -Q(\mathbf{x}, t) = -3q_0 \frac{\delta_0^4}{t_b \delta^4(t)} \quad \text{if } |\mathbf{x}| < \delta(t); \quad \text{and } 0 \text{ otherwise}$$

This is merely Poisson's equation with a radially symmetric non-homogeneous term. Therefore we must have $\nabla p = 0$ at $\mathbf{x} = 0$ for all $t < t_b$. Note that we would have gotten the same result $\nabla p = 0$ if we had chosen $Q(\mathbf{x}, t) \leq 0$ at $\mathbf{x} = 0$. The mathematical principles are the same as those used to show that a radially symmetric charge distribution has zero electric field at the center, and that there is zero gravitational field at the center of a star or planet. Therefore, we must have

$$\Lambda(0, t) = \int_0^t |\nabla p(0, t')| dt' = 0$$

for all $t < t_b$. Since $\Lambda(0, t)$ does not blow up as $t \rightarrow t_b$, this proposed counter-example to the claim that (108) and (109) implies (118) is false.